during two 1-week sessions. Participants were observed leading teaching rounds on internal medicine, pediatric, and surgery inpatient services. The second session was attended by 18 attending physicians (15 Haitian, 3 American), with 15 participating in at least half the lectures. Anonymous postcourse evaluations revealed 100% agreement among participants (N = 13) that the course was important and helpful to their teaching practice, and participants rated themselves as more confident after the course. A multiple-choice test on educational best practices administered immediately before (N = 12) and after (N = 13) the course showed an improvement in average score from 44% to 72%. Qualitative feedback indicated that participants highly valued these sessions.

Challenges included consistent attendance due to conflicts with existing clinical responsibilities and variations in baseline knowledge of participants.

Attending physicians at a busy teaching hospital in Haiti found “Teach the Teacher” to be important and effective training for their new role as clinician-educators. This curriculum represents an innovative way of preparing teaching staff in a resource-limited setting to train the next generation of providers. We believe it can be used at any institution aiming to develop or improve a capacity for medical education. We plan to expand it to include more advanced topics in resident education as well as nursing-specific topics in the future.

Determining medical students’ preferences regarding the delivery of global health education

J. Saliteri, J. Sukhera; 2Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry at Western University, London, ON/CA, 2Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry at Western University, Psychiatry/Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, London, ON/CA

Background: Over the past decade, global health education has become increasingly important to medical students in Canada. Although it is known that medical students value global health education, little information on their preferences for delivery exists. In 2013, the Office of Global Health (OGH) at the Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, underwent restructuring with the aim of integrating global health learning into the core medical curriculum. This was seen as a unique opportunity to refocus global health education output with student preferences in mind. This study aims to determine preferences of medical students at Schulich regarding the delivery of and their involvement with global health education.

Structure/Method/Design: An online survey was developed and emailed to all current (2013) medical undergraduate students. The survey included campus location and year of graduation for demographic purposes. The participants ranked the importance of global health education, as well as their current satisfaction with Western programming. In addition, the survey included questions about the preferred timing, format, frequency, and content of global health programming, and concluded with an open-ended section for additional comments.

Results (Scientific Abstract)/Collaborative Partners (Programmatic Abstract): A total of 135 students from the London campus and 29 students from the Windsor campus responded, with a total response rate of 24% for all 4 years and a total preclinical response rate of 38%. The majority of the respondents were from the class of 2017. While 68.3% of respondents ranked global health education as important or very important, 62.1% indicated neutral responses regarding their satisfaction with current programming. Most students (42.6%) preferred that global health education be offered in addition to formal curriculum hours, indicating support for extracurricular activities with global health learning, and speaker series and skills workshops. Most students (37%) preferred to attend global health events once a semester. Student comments revealed their interest in seeking more information about global health education and funding opportunities, including involving the Windsor satellite campus and linking with other faculties for interdisciplinary learning.

Summary/Conclusion: While the study reveals that Schulich medical students value global health learning, it also highlights their concerns with current programming. A significant theme arising out of the student feedback was their lack of information about the field of global health and the competencies required in this field. Global health education was felt to be appropriate for integration in both the formal curriculum along with extracurricular activities. This data will be useful for informing the instruction of global health competencies in the formal curriculum and will promote the work of the OGH in encouraging the inclusion of global health themes in extracurricular activities.

Use of clinical practice teaching cases as a means of improving pediatric HIV care in Malawi

K.R. Simon1, L. Malilo2, A. Bhalakia1, C. Golitko1, C. Cox1, S. Ahmed1, M. Kim1, P.N. Kazembe2; 1Texas Children’s Global Health Corps, Baylor College of Medicine-Abbott Fund Children’s Clinical Center of Excellence, Lilongwe/MW, 2Baylor College of Medicine-Abbott Fund Children’s Clinical Center of Excellence, Lilongwe/MW, 3Albert Einstein College of Medicine and Children’s Hospital at Montefiore, Department of Pediatrics, Lilongwe, NY/US, 4International Pediatric AIDS Initiative at Texas Children’s Hospital, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX/US

Background: Although children make up a notable proportion of HIV-infected patients in Malawi, issues affecting this population are often neglected in national antiretroviral therapy (ART) and prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) programs and training. Despite increased emphasis on pediatric care and HIV prevention in the combined 2011 Malawi PMTCT/ART guidelines, providers at many health facilities continue to express limited comfort with pediatric HIV care.

Baylor-Malawi serves as the outpatient pediatric HIV clinic for Kamuzu Central Hospital and provides support to other government health facilities throughout the central and northern regions of Malawi. Baylor providers have experience in pediatric education and support, focusing on mentorship of clinical staff and systems strengthening through frequent mentorship visits by nurses and clinicians. As program expansion continues, the need for standardized teaching tools has been identified.

The mentorship experience is busy, with the bulk of time spent in active side-by-side patient care. At the end of the encounter, there is limited time for didactic lecture-based teaching, traditionally the tool used to teach fundamental concepts of pediatric HIV care.

Structure/Method/Design: A set of standardized clinical practice teaching cases was designed in order to provide consistent, concise pediatric HIV education to clinical providers. Topics include HIV Diagnosis, Presumed Severe HIV Disease, ART Eligibility, ART Side Effects, Exposed Infant Care, Opportunistic Infections, Tuberculosis, Treatment Failure, and Adherence. The tool is a laminated card with a clinical case and questions on one side, and answers with key summary points on the reverse. The mentor conducts a brief teaching session with the mentee at the end of a
Transformative learning model and its application to a global master’s program in health and sustainable development

B.K. Singh1, M. Damaj2, A.L. Deng1, E. Dumi1, R. Afifi1, J. Dejong3; 1EARTH University, San Jose/CR, 2American University of Beirut, Faculty of Health Sciences, Health Promotion and Community Health Department, Beirut/LB, 3American University of Beirut, Faculty of Health Sciences, Epidemiology & Population Health Department, Beirut/LB

Background: New methods of learning and teaching are critical to prepare professionals with the knowledge, skills, and values that will empower them to act as change agents to promote human rights, justice, equity, and peace.

Structure/Method/Design: This paper examines existing literature on student-centered learning, cooperative learning, autonomous learning, transformative learning, and competency-based education. The focus is on graduate-level curricula in global health and sustainable development that employ transformative learning theory to deliver competencies. Emphasis is placed on 1) the role of students in transformative learning; 2) the adjustments required of institutions to facilitate the implementation of the transformative learning model; 3) how instructors can create and construct the conditions under which transformative learning occurs; and 4) the key elements needed for the transformation of a student into an ethical leader that promotes justice, equity, and human rights.

Results (Scientific Abstract)/Collaborative Partners (Programmatic Abstract): This paper proposes a model for graduate education based on Metzrow’s definition of transformative learning; that is, the process of using a prior experience and interpretation to construct a new or revised interpretation of the meaning of one’s experience in order to guide future action. An effective implementation of this model begins with establishing core curriculum competencies, and implementing student selection processes that consider students’ prior work experience, demonstrated leadership, strong ethics and values, and commitment to change. The delivery of the competencies is based on “realistic teaching” that promotes prolonged exposure to the global-local link to problems and opportunities on multiple campuses and in several communities around the globe. This model redefines the new classroom, provides insight into the institutional changes, and establishes guidelines for capacity building for faculty and support staff.

Summary/Conclusion: The proposed model combines competency-based education and transformative learning theory to prepare graduates to tackle immediate challenges facing their countries in the areas of global health and sustainable development as well as bring about a world of greater justice, equity, and human rights for all.

Impact of the NIH Fogarty International Clinical Research Program on trainees’ career trajectories: Results from a 2013 impact evaluation

A. Smart1, M. Blevis2, T. Warner3, C. Lem1, M.H. Martin1, S. Vermund1, D.C. Heimburger1; 1Vanderbilt University, Institute for Global Health, Nashville, TN/US, 2Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Biostatistics, Nashville, TN/US, 3Vanderbilt Institute for Global Health, Fogarty International Clinical Research Scholars & Fellows Support Center, Nashville, TN/US

Background: Between 2004 and 2012, the NIH Fogarty International Clinical Research Scholars and Fellows (FICRS-F) Program offered 1-year mentored clinical research training experiences in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) for doctoral students and postdoctoral professionals in health-related fields from the United States and LMICs. Through June 2012, the program supported 436 scholars (doctoral trainees) and 122 fellows (postdoctoral professionals) in 1-year mentored clinical research training experiences at 61 NIH-funded research sites in 27 countries.

With former scholars and fellows transitioning into the next phases of their careers, we evaluated the impact that the FICRS-F Program has had on the professional choices of a subset of program alumni.

Structure/Method/Design: We used REDCap Survey1 to administer an electronic questionnaire of 100 FICRS-F alumni. A representative subset of all FICRS-F participants was selected to maximize the response rate. The selection was weighted such that the combination of program and year should have a similar distribution to the entire program. The evaluation included questions on accomplishments, ongoing collaborations, career influences, continuing research, and interest in global health. We used a slider scale (0 = none to 100 = extremely) to measure the influence of the training on four aspects of the trainees’ professional development: competitiveness for career advancements or additional training; decisions to accept a position, residency, or fellowship; choice of any posttraining specialty or topic area of focus; and choice of institution(s) for study, work, or training.

Results (Scientific Abstract)/Collaborative Partners (Programmatic Abstract): Not applicable

Summary/Conclusion: We achieved a response rate of 94%, with inputs from 38 US and 34 international scholars and 15 US and 7 international fellows who participated in the program. Alumni considered the FICRS-F Program very influential on their career choices; scores across all groups ranged from 80 to 86. U.S. fellows reported the strongest influence on all four aspects of their professional development (scores 90-95). For U.S. scholars, the program had less bearing on decisions regarding posttraining specialty/topic area of focus and institution(s) for study, work, or training, with scores of 79 and 74, respectively. These impacts may become more evident in the coming years as scholars complete formal programs and are able to actively determine the directions of their careers. Participants’ responses show a strong, sustained interest in global health research since their training experience with a combined score of 90.

The concentrated, mentored clinical research training in global health settings provided by the FICRS-F Program exerted significant influence on the professional career trajectories of its alumni, especially those who participated as postdoctoral fellows. The FICRS-F