**Methods:** We prospectively enrolled consecutive adults visiting the outpatient department between July 1<sup>st</sup> 2011 and March 31<sup>st</sup> 2012 who had a known diagnosis of hypertension, were on treatment, and had a recorded blood pressure above 140/90 in the past 3 months. We assessed awareness of hypertension with the question "Has a doctor ever told you that you have high blood pressure and it has to be controlled with medications?"

Findings: 150 patients with hypertension were enrolled, including 56% women and 44% men, mean age of  $52.75\pm6.08$  years. 42 patients (28%) were diabetic, 23 (15.3%) had elevated total cholesterol, and 36 (24)% were current or former smokers. Obesity was recorded in 34 patients (22.7%), and the mean BMI was 26.24±1.8. 51 subjects (34%) had hypertension for more than 5 years, and the mean duration since diagnosis was  $8.5\pm0.7$ . The mean number of antihypertensive drugs used was 1.84±0.7. 32 subjects (21.3%) had well-controlled blood pressure. LVH was present in 36% of subjects. Chronic renal failure was documented in 18%, albuminuria in 33.3%, half of whom were diabetic. Clinical diagnosis of stroke was made in 23 patients (15.3%). Almost half of the subjects (48%) had proper information about arterial hypertension. 35.3% stated that they tried to comply with both pharmacological treatment and lifestyle modifications. Independent predictors of poor control were diabetes (OR=3.367; 95% CI 1.103-10.287), smoking (OR=6.145; 1.390-27.154), and poor adherence to treatment (OR=0.066; 0.025-0.177).

**Interpretation:** A majority of our patients are not aware that they have hypertension, despite taking medication to control it. Poor adherence to treatment is likely a result of this lack of knowledge. Independent predictors of poor BP control were diabetes, smoking and poor compliance with treatment. We found significant endorgan damage from uncontrolled hypertension, suggesting that increased patient education could have a major impact on morbidity and mortality.

Funding: None.

Abstract #: 1.074\_NEP

## Wound care knowledge assessment and infection rates for surgical patients in the Central Plateau of Haiti

J. Kaplan, E. Ludi, J. Sharma, J. Srinivasan; Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

**Background:** Increasing access to surgery globally has become a public health priority. However, concerns for high surgical site infection (SSI) rates exist given the limitations in protecting sterility in low-resource settings. The purpose of this study was to evaluate attitudes towards a postoperative wound care instructional video and its effect on rates of wound dehiscence and SSI during surgical volunteerism trips to the Central Plateau in Haiti.

**Methods:** This prospective survey-based study took place at Hospital Sainte Therese in Hinche, Haiti. Participants included patients who received surgery and watched an instructional video on how to clean and care for their surgical site. A 10-minute survey was administered at two time points: once prior to discharge after viewing the video and another in post-operative follow-up clinic. Questions prior to discharge assessed comprehension of the video

and anticipated ability to practice appropriate wound care. Questions in follow-up clinic assessed ability to follow the instructions and barriers encountered. Quantitative data was analyzed with SAS and described as means, ranges, and percentages.

**Findings:** 47 participants (88.4% male, average age 46.8, SD =  $\pm$  24.3) completed the survey prior to discharge. 94% of patients identified the correct time they needed to boil water and wash their hands prior to wound care, and 91% understood the importance of having clean hands when changing bandages. Only 17% could identify the correct procedure for drying the wound. 30 patients completed the survey in post-operative clinic. 90% said they followed directions everyday, and 100% found the instructions to be "very helpful." After introducing the video in 2013, wound dehiscence rates decreased from 5.4% in 2012 to 2.7%, and SSI rates decreased from 2.7% to 1.3%. This year, rates were 0% and 3.8%, respectively.

**Interpretation:** Trending our wound care data has demonstrated that SSI's have been a smaller problem than originally anticipated, especially when compared to infection rates of 2-5% in the United States. By adding the knowledge assessment, we learned that the majority of patients understood the instructions and found the video to be helpful, and there may be an association with a reduction in wound complications.

Funding: None.

**Abstract #:** 1.075\_NEP

## The political prioritization of preterm birth: a policy analysis using a prioritization framework

S. Kassabian<sup>1</sup>, S. Fewer<sup>1</sup>, G. Yamey<sup>1,2</sup>, C. Brindis<sup>1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>University of California San Francisco, San Francisco CA, USA, <sup>2</sup>Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

**Background:** Preterm birth is the leading cause of death in newborns and children under five years. Despite its high mortality rate, prematurity has received less attention and resources than other diseases of less or comparable burden. In the period of transition from the United Nations Millennium Development Goals to the Sustainable Development Goals, it is critical to assess how global health leadership prioritizes prematurity alongside other causes of childhood mortality.

**Methods:** This qualitative policy analysis used key informant interviews of individuals selected for their expertise in newborn health using a purposive sampling strategy, which gave way to snowball sampling. We conducted 15 semi-structured interviews with key informants in-person or by phone and Skype over a 10-week period from May 2015 to July 2015. Interviews were coded using the Shiffman-Smith framework for political priority to guide analysis. The data from the interviews were triangulated with relevant literature on preterm birth agenda setting to ground findings in existing evidence.

**Findings:** Our findings reveal preterm birth has been inadequately prioritized in relation to its burden. We identified four key barriers to the prioritization of prematurity: (1) lack of data on the economic impacts of prematurity, (2) internal dispute around implementation strategies and how to prioritize scaling-up existing interventions, (3)