6

Annals of GlobalHealth

The Open Burning of Plastic Wastes is an Urgent Global Health Issue

VIEWPOINT

GAURI PATHAK MARK NICHTER ANITA HARDON EILEEN MOYER

*Author affiliations can be found in the back matter of this article

u ubiquity press

ABSTRACT

The open burning of mixed wastes that contain plastics is a widespread practice across the globe, resulting in the release of gas emissions and ash residues that have toxic effects on human and environmental health. Although plastic pollution is under scrutiny as a pressing environmental concern, it is often conflated with plastic litter, and the contribution of the open burning of plastics to air, soil, and water pollution gets overlooked. Therefore, campaigns to raise awareness about plastic pollution often end up leading to increased open burning. Many countries or regions where open burning is prevalent have laws in place against the practice, but these are seldom effective. In this viewpoint, we direct attention to this critical but largely overlooked dimension of plastic pollution as an urgent global health issue. We also advocate interventions to raise awareness about the risks of open burning and emphasize the necessity of phasing out some particularly pernicious plastics in high-churn, single-use consumer applications.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Gauri Pathak

Aarhus University, DK gauri@cas.au.dk

KEYWORDS:

Plastic Pollution; Waste; Air Pollution; Plastic Burning

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Pathak G, Nichter M, Hardon A, Moyer E. The Open Burning of Plastic Wastes is an Urgent Global Health Issue. *Annals of Global Health*. 2024; 90(1): 3, 1–5. DOI: https://doi. org/10.5334/aoqh.4232

INTRODUCTION

Plastic pollution has emerged as one of our most pressing environmental challenges, and media coverage of plastic pollution has skyrocketed. The public has encountered two differing narratives in the in the media. The first narrative unfolds with images of wildlife dying after ingesting plastics, mountains of plastic trash on land, and plastics strewn in the ocean. The second narrative showcases images of clean-up campaigns and innovative plastic repurposing and recycling programs, creating the impression that substantial efforts to address the problem are being made. However, the impact of these remediation efforts is subject to debate. While these efforts reduce visible plastic waste in the immediate environment, raise awareness about our growing plastic footprint, and inspire hope, in practice, less than ten percent of plastic waste [1, 2] is recycled. The plastic problem is steadily intensifying and is further worsened by the practice of shipping post-consumer plastics collected in higher income countries to low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) under the guise of recycling. This practice exacerbates the existing challenges LMICs face with their own plastic waste [3–5].

Most news reporting about plastic pollution has focused on litter as an environmental hazard to animals [6]. In comparison, far less media attention has been dedicated to the methods by which plastics are disposed and their resulting health consequences. News stories about the plastic waste collected locally by communities seldom specify what happens to this waste. Through our multi-sited ethnographic fieldwork, we found that this waste is routinely burned in open fires. Ironically, anti-litter campaigns and initiatives raising awareness about plastic pollution often contribute to increased volumes of open burning, as individuals and communities burn the trash collected in clean-up activities [7].

OPEN BURNING OF WASTES

Approximately two billion people across the globe receive no municipal solid waste collection [8]. Their wastes are usually buried or dumped on land or in waterways, and more commonly, they are subjected to open burning [9]. The amount of plastics that get burned are estimated to be as high as the quantity of plastics emitted into the land or sea [10]. The scale of open burning among LMICs is estimated to range from 40% to 65% of total municipal solid waste [11–13]. Open burning is a large source of air pollutants, especially reactive trace gases and particulate matter (PM). For example, in China, emissions of PM 10 micrometers or less in diameter (PM10) from open domestic waste burning are equivalent to 22% of China's total reported anthropogenic PM10 emissions [13]. When it comes to open burning, plastics are a particularly problematic waste stream. One study attributed 90% of black carbon emitted from burning wastes to two plastics—polyethylene terephthalate and polystyrene [14]. Although reliable measurements of the amount of black carbon released through the burning of wastes are lacking, very basic calculations have suggested that they are not negligible [10, 15], correlating the open burning of wastes to climate change as well as detrimental health effects. Some emissions, including persistent organic pollutants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and dioxins and dioxin-related compounds, have been linked to skin lesions, cancer, immunological issues, and birth defects, among other health issues [11, 14]. The open burning of plastics is also associated with increased risks of heart disease, respiratory issues, and neurological disorders [7, 11, 12, 16–18]. The ash from open burning can contain dioxins, heavy metals, and other toxicants, which once settled on the ground, contaminate the soil, groundwater, and thus the organisms surrounding the environment and their respective food chains [19]. One study estimated a global mortality rate between 400,000-1,000,000 people per annum associated with waste mismanagement and suggested that plastic waste is likely to be responsible for a significant proportion of these deaths [20].

Open burning is a common practice in much of the Global South, and it occurs even in the Global North. With the trends of increasing global plastic production and consumption [9], it is likely that open burning will increase in frequency and extent. Our ethnographic fieldwork in countries such as India, Indonesia, the Philippines, and Zambia reveals a widespread reliance on open burning, despite the implementation of laws against the practice.

2

Individual consumers and communities cannot be blamed for open burning practices. We found that trash collection is infrequent or non-existent in many neighborhoods, and if available, can be an economic burden for households. Often, collected wastes are transported to dumping grounds burdened beyond their capacities. Simultaneously, low-value post-consumer plastic wastes from high-income countries are shipped to LMICs under the guise of recycling, even though these wastes are not always recycled. Post-consumer plastic wastes are often discarded along roadsides, in vacant lots, or in open dumps that are quickly overrun. In all such situations, when plastic wastes accumulate beyond the point of being deemed a nuisance—whether in terms of contagion or aesthetics—they are burned in the open.

HEALTH CONSEQUENCES

The health consequences of open burning depend on the type of plastic being burned. Some plastics release particularly carcinogenic or toxic gases that pose significant health risks when burned (see Table 1) [7, 11, 13, 16]. Our fieldwork revealed that few people realize the magnitude of the health and environmental hazards of burning plastics, even plastics such as polyvinyl chloride or Styrofoam (a type of polystyrene) that release toxic dioxins, chlorinated furans, or styrene gas when burned in the open. The major concern voiced by interlocutors was the acrid smell and thick smoke from the burning of some plastics; this was considered more of a short-term inconvenience than a long-term hazard. However, the toxicants released by burning plastics can linger even after the smoke and smell have dissipated. In addition, the small-scale community burning of mixed plastic wastes has been found to pose greater risks to human health than fires at large dumping grounds because of the higher frequency, higher probability of human exposure, and low dispersive dilution caused by ground-level emissions [21].

TYPE OF PLASTIC	COMMON FORMS	TOXICANTS RELEASED UPON BURNING	HEALTH EFFECTS
Polyvinyl Chloride	Drainpipes, blister packs, children's toys, bottles and jugs, etc.	Carbon monoxide, dioxins, chlorinated furans	Carcinogenic, birth defects, respiratory disorders, etc.
Polystyrene, styrene	Foam cups, meat trays, egg cartons, plastic forks and spoons, etc.	Styrene gas, acrolein, hydrogen cyanide	Carcinogenic, eye and mucous membrane damage, narcosis, death in high doses
Polyurethane	Wood finishes, sealants, adhesives, curtains, etc.	Carbon monoxide, hydrogen cyanide, phosgene	Death in high doses

Table 1Examples of plasticsthat release toxicants uponburning.

Pollution has been deemed responsible for 15% of all deaths and 275 million disability-adjusted life years in 2017 [22]. The open burning of plastic wastes is an important contributor to such pollution. It is also an often-missed element, i.e the open burning of domestic wastes is an emissions source that has not been built into mainstream emissions inventories [13, 23, 24]. Laws against open burning exist but have not proven effective given a lack of alternatives for safe and convenient disposal, the shipping of low-value plastic wastes to LMICs, and low awareness regarding the health and environmental risks of open burning [7]. Furthermore, as mentioned earlier, anti-plastic pollution campaigns focusing on litter have paradoxically *increased* open burning as communities engage in clean-up activities and burn the collected waste.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Plastic pollution has been recognized in public discourse as an urgent environmental challenge, and there are indications that governments are under pressure to take action to address the problem. Consequently, a UN Treaty on plastic pollution is currently being negotiated. It is imperative that any global plastics treaty recognizes the open burning of plastic wastes as a key aspect of plastic pollution and an immediate public health concern. Despite public discourses focusing on the associated health risks posed by microplastics and the endocrine-disrupting chemicals used as

additives in plastics —both of which are subject to ongoing research— the open burning of plastic wastes should remain a paramount topic to address.

Pathak et al. Annals of Global Health DOI: 10.5334/aogh.4232

Interventions to address the open burning of mixed wastes containing plastics should not solely focus on community outreach programs to raise awareness of the associated risks. To increase efficacy, these interventions must also involve waste pickers and the informal recycling sector. Easily comprehensible labeling should be implemented to identify the types of consumer plastics that are particularly pernicious when burned, going beyond existing resin recycling codes. Crucially, the onus of collecting and safely disposing of those plastics should be placed on the plastic industry and consumer goods companies rather than on the state. At the same time, prioritizing the phase-out of the production of these particularly harmful types of plastics (e.g., polyvinyl chloride, Styrofoam) for short-term and high-churn applications is of vital importance. The implementation of effective policies necessitates pragmatic solutions based on systematic analysis in local worlds and cooperation not just between various national ministries of environment but also with the better-funded and agenda setting ministries of health. Furthermore, it will require the re-evaluation of the burden of responsibility for consumer plastic disposal, shifting it away from individual consumers and communities and more towards the plastic manufacturers and the consumer brand owners using plastic packaging.

FUNDING INFORMATION

The research summarized in this viewpoint was funded by a Carlsberg Semper Adrens: Accelerate Fellowship (CF-20-0151) and by the Centre for Social Science and Global Health at the University of Amsterdam.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

Gauri Pathak Corcid.org/0000-0002-3244-0372 Aarhus University, DK Mark Nichter Corcid.org/0000-0002-4112-3010 The University of Arizona, USA Anita Hardon Corcid.org/0000-0002-2761-5502

Wageningen University and Research, NL

Eileen Moyer Dorcid.org/0000-0002-1233-6041 University of Amsterdam and Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, NL

REFERENCES

- 1. **OECD.** Global Plastics Outlook: Economic Drivers, Environmental Impacts and Policy Options. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2022. Accessed April 23, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/de747aef-en
- 2. Charles D, Kimman L. *The Plastic Waste Makers Index 2023*. Minderoo Foundation, 2023. https://cdn. minderoo.org/content/uploads/2023/02/04205527/Plastic-Waste-Makers-Index-2023.pdf. Accessed April 23, 2023.
- Cook E, Velis C. Plastic waste exports and recycling: myths, misunderstandings and inconvenient truths. Waste Management & Research. 2022; 40: 1459–1461. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1177/0734242X221132336
- 4. **European Environment Agency.** The plastic waste trade in the circular economy. European Environment Agency 2019; Oct 28: briefing no. 7.
- Matsuda T, Trang T, Goto H. The impact of China's tightening environmental regulations on international waste trade and logistics. *Sustainability*. 2021; 13: 987. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ su13020987

- 6. **Pathak G, Nichter M.** Ecocommunicability, citizenship, and discourses on plastic pollution in India. *Geoforum*. 2021; 125: 132–139. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2021.04.027
- 7. **Pathak G, Nichter M, Hardon A,** et al. Plastic pollution and the open burning of plastic wastes. *Global Environmental Change*. 2023; 80: 102648. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2023.102648
- 8. **Wilson DC, Rodic L, Modak P,** et al. *Global Waste Management Outlook*. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme; 2015.
- Lau WWY, Shiran Y, Bailey RM, et al. Evaluating scenarios toward zero plastic pollution. Science 2022; 369: 1455–1461. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9475
- 10. Velis CA. Plastic pollution global treaty to cover waste pickers and open burning? Waste Management & Research. 2022; 40: 1–2. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X211069583
- Christian TJ, et al. Trace gas and particle emissions from domestic and industrial biofuel use and garbage burning in central Mexico. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*. 2010; 10: 565–584. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-565-2010
- 12. Velis CA, Cook E. Mismanagement of plastic waste through open burning with emphasis on the Global South: a systematic review of risks to occupational and public health. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2021; 55: 7186–7207. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c08536
- Wiedinmyer C, Yokelson RJ, Gullett BK. Global emissions of trace gases, particulate matter, and hazardous air pollutants from open burning of domestic waste. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2014; 48: 9523–9530. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/es502250z
- Reyna-Bensusan N, Wilson DC, Davy PM, et al. Experimental measurements of black carbon emission factors to estimate the global impact of uncontrolled burning of waste. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2019; 213: 629–639. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.06.047
- 15. **Hamilton LA, Feit S.** *Plastic & Climate: The Hidden Costs of a Plastic Planet.* Switzerland: Ciel; 2019. https://policycommons.net/artifacts/2485040/untitled/3507468/. Accessed April 23, 2023.
- Balcom P, Cabrera JM, Carey VP. Extended exergy sustainability analysis comparing environmental impacts of disposal methods for waste plastic roof tiles in Uganda. *Development Engineering*. 2021; 6: 100068. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.deveng.2021.100068
- 17. Landrigan PJ, Raps H, Cropper M, et al. The Minderoo-Monaco Commission on plastics and human health. Annals of Global Health. 2023; 89: 23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5334/aogh.4056
- 18. Verma R, Vinoda KS, Papireddy M, et al. Toxic pollutants from plastic waste-a review. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*. 2016; 35: 701–708. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2016.07.069
- 19. **IPEN Dioxin, PCBs and Waste Working Group.** *After Incineration: The Toxic Ash Problem.* Prague and Manchester: IPEN; 2005.
- 20. Williams M, Gower R, Green J, et al. *No Time to Waste: Tackling the Plastic Pollution Crisis Before It's Too Late.* Teddington, UK and Paris, France: Tearfund, Fauna & Flora International (FFI), WasteAid and The Institute of Development Studies (IDS); 2019.
- 21. Ajay SV, Kirankumar PS, Varghese A, et al. Assessment of dioxin-like POP's emissions and human exposure risk from open burning of municipal solid wastes in streets and dumpyard fire breakouts. *Exposure and Health.* 2022; 14: 763–78. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12403-021-00450-4
- Fuller R, Sandilya K, Hanrahan D. Pollution and Health Metrics. New York, USA and Geneva, Switzerland: Global Alliance on Health and Pollution; 2019. https://gahp.net/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/ PollutionandHealthMetrics-final-12_18_2019.pdf. Accessed April 23, 2023.
- 23. Sharma G, Annadate S, Sinha B. Will open waste burning become India's largest air pollution source? Environmental Pollution. 2022; 292: 118310. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118310
- 24. Saikawa E, Wu Q, Zhing M, et al. Garbage burning in South Asia: how important is it to regional air quality? *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2020; 54: 9928–38. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c02830

5

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Pathak G, Nichter M, Hardon A, Moyer E. The Open Burning of Plastic Wastes is an Urgent Global Health Issue. *Annals of Global Health*. 2024; 90(1): 3, 1–5. DOI: https://doi. org/10.5334/aogh.4232

Submitted: 03 July 2023 Accepted: 05 December 2023 Published: 12 January 2024

COPYRIGHT:

© 2024 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

Annals of Global Health is a peerreviewed open access journal published by Ubiquity Press.

]u[👌