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Program/Project Purpose: Cancer is responsible for a large global burden of disease. We are assessing the public and philanthropic investments awarded to United States and United Kingdom institutions for international cancer research and outreach from 2000-2012. We are comparing the investments based on cancer type and stage in the research and development continuum with disease burden to identify areas of underinvestment and provide recommendations to assist policy makers and funding organizations. We hypothesize that there is a relative underinvestment in gastrointestinal malignancies in low resource countries.

Structure/Method/Design: This project is a systematic analysis of United States and United Kingdom institutions carrying out oncology research. The primary outcome is the correlation between investments in research on specific cancer types and their global burden of disease. Secondary outcomes are investment characteristics such as amount of funding, number of oncology research studies, predominant funders in each category, and the mean and median award size. We are systematically searching databases including the National Cancer Institute and the National Research Register for information on oncology research funding awarded from public and philanthropic institutions from 2000-2014. We are creating a comprehensive database categorized by specific cancer type and research and development phase. Regression analyses will establish the relationship between research investments in specific cancers and the disease burden of each cancer type by disability-adjusted life years based on the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010.

Outcomes & Evaluation: We will identify the number of oncology research studies and their total investment. We will demonstrate relative investment based on cancer type and research phase, and determine correlation with disease burden.

Going Forward: We will determine how oncology funds are primarily dedicated and describe gaps in funding to guide resource allocation. Accurately capturing private sector research data is an ongoing challenge, but the data from this project will characterize funding patterns and highlight areas of underinvestment to help policy makers and organizations implement optimal investments.

Funding: None.
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Surgical provider perspectives in implementing the World Health Organization’s surgical safety checklist in a low- and middle-income country
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Background: The World Health Organization’s Surgical Safety Checklist (WHO-SSC) has been shown to be effective in reducing complication and mortality rates associated with surgery in both high- and low-income settings; however, recent evidence from a study of 101 hospitals in Ontario, Canada did not show any association between WHO-SSC use and reductions in these measures. Authors’ discussion and subsequent commentaries suggest that the lack of meaningful improvements in surgical safety might reflect inadequate adherence to the WHO-SSC in these hospitals. Data on the implementation and use of the WHO-SSC is limited in Latin America. The public Hospital Regional Vicente Corral Moscoso (HVCM) and private Hospital Universitario del Rio (HUR) in Cuenca, Ecuador began using the WHO-SSC in September 2013 and April 2014, respectively, providing an opportunity to study the use of the recently implemented checklist.

Methods: A descriptive, cross-sectional study was performed at these two hospitals in Cuenca, Ecuador using: (1) operating room observations and informal discussions with staff by an educational observer in order to determine adherence rates to the pre-incision and post-operative phases of the WHO-SSC and (2) questionnaires administered to surgical staff at each hospital in order to gather the perspectives of nurses, surgeons, and anesthesiologists on WHO-SSC effectiveness and use, as well as overall patient safety management in each hospital.

Findings: 30 operations were observed (n=16 public, n=14 private). Incorrect use and incompleteness were present in both hospitals. Overall adherence was below 64% the pre-incision timeout phase and below 40% for the post-operative sign-out phase. Questionnaires were administered and collected from 100 surgical staff across both hospitals (n=62 public, n=38 private) spanning nurses, surgeons, surgical residents, and anesthesiologists. 99% (95%CI: 97-101) wanted to use the WHO-SSC if they were surgical patients and 89.6% (95%CI: 80.1-93.6) reported that the WHO-SSC improved surgical team communication. Staff at the private hospital assessed the quality of surgical team communication and preparedness for perioperative complications more highly than at the public hospital.

Interpretation: The introduction of the WHO-SSC alone cannot be assumed to automatically improve communication and reduce error, as incorrect use was present in both settings to the extent that it might derail checklist effectiveness. Although some noted resistance from colleagues, nearly all personnel in both hospitals saw value in the WHO-SSC, and the expected finding of staff opposition to the WHO-SSC was not a major barrier to proper use. In these settings, failures in WHO-SSC use can be attributed more to a lack of organizational training and optimal process promotion than to refusal or opposition on the part of surgical staff.
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Development of an intervention to improve mental health for obstetric fistula patients in Tanzania
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Background: Obstetric fistula is a debilitating childbirth injury that results in uncontrollable leaking of urine and/or feces. Women with fistula have high rates of psychological morbidity, due to stillbirth, stigma, and social isolation. Tanzania offers free surgical repair for fistula, but evidence-based treatment for the psychological needs of this patient population are lacking. The aim of this study was to develop a psychological intervention for Tanzanian women who are in the hospital for fistula repair surgery.

Methods: The intervention content was informed by in-depth interviews and focus group discussions with 17 stakeholders (health care providers, community informants, and fistula patients) and input by a local study advisory board. A multi-disciplinary team (representing public health, psychology and gynecology) developed the intervention based on the formative data and theories of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). A trial run of the intervention was conducted with six fistula patients. The participants provided feedback on their satisfaction with each session and the facilitator, the time spent on the intervention, and their willingness to participate again. The intervention facilitator noted her fidelity to each session and provided feedback on the content areas that were challenging to deliver.

Findings: The final intervention was six individual sessions, delivered by a community health nurse. The session topics were: 1) recounting the fistula story; 2) creating a new story about the fistula; 3) loss, grief and shame; 4) strategies for coping; 5) social relationships; and 6) planning for the future. Sessions incorporated components of CBT, including identifying thoughts and emotions, reframing unhelpful thoughts, and relaxation exercises. In the trial run, all six participants reported satisfaction with the intervention content and facilitator. Most (4/6) felt that the number of sessions and time spent on the intervention were appropriate, but two felt too much time was required. Participants reported that they liked the intervention because it helped them address fears, understand their fistula, and learn specific coping strategies. All patients said that they would be willing to participate in such an intervention again. The facilitator feedback showed high fidelity to the intervention content, but revealed challenges in helping women to reframe thoughts, address difficult social relationships, and find solutions related to poverty.

Interpretation: This intervention addresses the dire need for psychological support for fistula patients, given the high rates of distress in this population. Findings suggest that this nurse-delivered psychotherapeutic intervention is both feasible and acceptable. A future randomized control trial will evaluate the efficacy of this intervention to address the mental health symptoms of this population.

Funding: This project was supported by the National Institute of Child Health & Human Development, grant R21 HD073681.
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